

Course: Spring2024CSCI1952YS01: CSCI1952Y Spring24 S01 Computer Architecture-S01
Instructor: Milda Zizyte
TA: Samuel Thomas *
Response Rate: 13/19 (68.42 %)

1 - My teaching assistant (Samuel Thomas):
was consistently prepared.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%		4.83	4.59	4.53	4.42
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%					

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	13438	4.59	0.70	5.00	5400	4.53	0.75	5.00	1092	4.42	0.77	5.00

1 - My teaching assistant (Samuel Thomas):
effectively engaged students (e.g. encouraged student participation; was responsive to questions; offered opportunities for discussion in pairs or small groups).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	75.00%		4.75	4.55	4.50	4.37
Agree	(4)	3	25.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%					

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.75	0.45	5.00	13380	4.55	0.74	5.00	5373	4.50	0.78	5.00	1089	4.37	0.83	5.00

1 - My teaching assistant (Samuel Thomas):
was responsive to students (e.g. was available during office hours; was responsive to questions; was responsive to requests to meet).

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	75.00%		4.75	4.60	4.56	4.46
Agree	(4)	3	25.00%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%					

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.75	0.45	5.00	13391	4.60	0.69	5.00	5384	4.56	0.73	5.00	1088	4.46	0.74	5.00

1 - My teaching assistant (Samuel Thomas):
made content clear and understandable.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%		4.83	4.53	4.46	4.33
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%					
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%					

Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	13384	4.53	0.77	5.00	5378	4.46	0.83	5.00	1087	4.33	0.83	5.00

Course: Spring2024CSCI1952YS01: CSCI1952Y Spring24 S01 Computer Architecture-S01
Instructor: Milda Zizyte
TA: Samuel Thomas *
Response Rate: 13/19 (68.42 %)

1 - My teaching assistant (Samuel Thomas):
provided clear feedback on assignments that improved my learning.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Strongly Agree	(5)	9	75.00%		4.75	4.51	4.45	4.36							
Agree	(4)	3	25.00%												
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%												
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%												
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%												
0 25 50 100					Question	Brown University	Division	Department							
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.75	0.45	5.00	13345	4.51	0.80	5.00	5363	4.45	0.84	5.00	1086	4.36	0.81	5.00

1 - My teaching assistant (Samuel Thomas):
Overall, I rate this teaching assistant as effective.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Strongly Agree	(5)	10	83.33%		4.83	4.56	4.51	4.40							
Agree	(4)	2	16.67%												
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%												
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%												
N/A	(0)	0	0.00%												
0 25 50 100					Question	Brown University	Division	Department							
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Brown University	Mean	STD	Median	Division	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median
12/19 (63.16%)	4.83	0.39	5.00	13316	4.56	0.73	5.00	5354	4.51	0.77	5.00	1081	4.40	0.78	5.00

2 - What has been particularly effective about Samuel Thomas's approach to teaching in this course?

Response Rate	6/19 (31.58%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A • Sam was an amazing TA who has a skill to foster intelligent discussion among students, he was always well prepared and went above and beyond to help students have a better understanding of the course material. The classes he took as lecturer were also really well done. • Sam's lectures were very interesting and he was often available to answer questions. • Was very patient when discussing homework questions. • I feel like the tag-team of Sam running lectures that complimented his research area was an incredibly effective bit of course design. Obviously, not all courses have PhD TAs just sitting around with a wealth of knowledge to tap into (i.e. I can't say I would have added much if I was tapping to give a CS300 lecture while I was TAing), but I just think the change of pace (different instructor, style, focus) worked well! Also: Sam kinda cooks on the slide front. A+ slide work. • Sam contributed through the assignments and guest lectures and was very helpful in person and over Ed to answer questions. I also appreciate that Sam was active in building community in the department. 	

3 - What specific advice would you have for Samuel Thomas about changes that would enhance your learning?

Response Rate	2/19 (10.53%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A • I have no specific advice, I think Sam is doing a great job and was a great asset to this course. 	